

#21



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: STUCKENHOLZ=1

In re Application of:

STUCKENHOLZ et al.

Appln. No.: 10/072,972

Filed: February 12, 2002

For: SUPPORTING DISK FOR A  
SURFACE GRINDING WHEEL...

) Conf. No.: 3499

) Art Unit: 3632

) Examiner:

) Washington, D.C.

) September 11, 2002

**RECEIVED**

SEP 12 2002

**GROUP 3600**

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir :

**RECEIVED**  
SEP 26 2002  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 CFR §§1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

[X] 1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 CFR §1.97, as it is filed before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits or before the mailing of a first Office action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

[X] 2. In accordance with 37 CFR §1.98, this IDS includes a list (e.g., form PTO-1449) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document listed is attached.

[X] 3. Documents AB-AD is (are) not in the English language. In accordance with §1.98(c), Applicant(s) states:

[X] An English translation of each document AB and AC (or of the pertinent portions thereof), or a copy of each corresponding English-language patent or application, or English-language abstract (or claim) is enclosed.

[X] A concise explanation of the relevance of document AD is found in the attached European search report (see reply to Comment 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).


[X] 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).

[ ] 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

6. In accordance with 37 CFR §§1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant(s) reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

Respectfully submitted,  
BROWDY AND NEIMARK  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:

  
Sheridan Neimark  
Reg. No. 20,520

SN:lt  
624 Ninth Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20001-5303  
Telephone: (202) 628-5197  
Facsimile: (202) 737-3528